Module 8

Evaluating Water Quantity Compliance

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Module 8. Content

8a. Effective CNs *(review)*

8b. Routing vs. CN Adjustment

8c. Enhancing Storage at Practices 8d.

Traditional CNs

8e. Rational Method *(review)*

Review – *Graphical Solution*

Curves on this sheet are for the case $I_a = 0.2S$, so that

$$Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S}$$

Step 2a: CN = 80

Step 3: Line 2 – to y-axis

Step 4: Q = 2.0

Step 2b: Line 1 – x-axis to CN intersect

Step 1: P = 4.0

Given a watershed with a CN of 80, what would be the direct runoff (Q) from a rainfall (P) of 4.0 inches?

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Review – Tabular Solution

Table 2-1 Runoff depth for selected CN's and rainfall amounts [1/]

					Runoff depth for curve number of—								
Rainfall	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	98
							----inches----						
1.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.32	0.56	0.79
1.2	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.03	.07	15	.27	.46	.74	.99
1.4	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.02	.06	.13	24	.39	.61	.92	1.18
1.6	.00	.00	.00	.00	.01	.05	.11	.20	34	.52	.76	1.11	1.38
1.8	.00	.00	.00	.00	.03	.09	.17	.29	44	.65	.93	1.29	1.58
			.00	.02	.06	.14	.24	.38	56	.80	1.09	1.48	1.77
			.02	.08	.17	.30			89	1.18	1.53	1.96	2.27
3.0	.00	.02	.09	.19	.33	.51			1.25	1.59	1.98	2.45	2.77
3.5	.02	.08	.20	.35	.53	.75			1.64	2.02	2.45	2.94	3.27
4.0	.06	.18	.33	.53	.76	1.03	1.33	1.67	2.04	2.46	2.92	3.43	3.77
4.5	.14	.30	.50	.74	1.02	1.33	1.67	2.05	2.46	2.91	3.40	3.92	4.26
5.0												4.42	4.76

Given a watershed with a CN of 80, what would be the direct runoff (Q) from a rainfall (P) of 4.0 inches?

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY — DEQ

Why are CN Adjustments Useful?

- Simplified view of small storage amounts distributed on landscape

- Minimize complex modeling

Excerpted from work by Paul R. Koch, Ph.D., P.E.

Figure 2-1 Solution of runoff equation.

Curves on this sheet are for the case $I_a = 0.2S$, so that

$$Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S}$$

Direct runoff (Q), inches

Rainfall (P), inches

Curve number = 100, 95, 90, 85, 80, 75, 70, 50, 45, 40

Step 3: 1.28" runoff

Step 5: Adjusted CN ~ 77

Step 2: Original CN = 83

Step 1: 2.8" rainfall

Step 4: Adjust For Retention (-0.96")

8b. | Practice Routing versus CN Adjustment | Pg. 4

How Does the Spreadsheet Determine (retention) Storage?

Residual volume from upstream BMP contributes to next BMP in treatment train for sizing

Practice	on of Credit	Credit	Credit Area (acres)	Volume from Upstream RR Practice (cf)	Runoff Reduction (cf)	Remaining Runoff Volume (cf)	Phosphorus Efficiency (%)	Phosphorus Load from Upstream RR Practices (lbs)	Untreated Phosphorus Load to Practice (lbs.)	Phosphorus Removed By Practice (lbs.)	Remaining Phosphorus Load (lbs.)	Downstream Treatment to be Employed
7.a. Infiltration #1 (Spec #6)												
	lume reduction	0.50	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.b. Infiltration #2 (Spec #8)	lume reduction	0.90	1.00	0	3104	345	25	0.00	2.16	2.00	0.16	8.b. ED #2
	lume reduction	0.90	1.00	0	719	80	25	0.00	0.50	0.46	0.04	8.b. ED #2
8. Extended Detention Pond												
8.a. ED #1 (Spec #15)	lume reduction	0.00	0.00	0		0					.00	
	lume reduction	0.00	0.00	0		0	15				.00	
8.b. ED #2 (Spec #15)	lume reduction	0.15	1.00	345	569	3224	15				.68	
	lume reduction	0.15	0.00	80	12	68	15	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.03	

Runoff Reduction Volume

DEQ VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

What Does that Volume Mean?

- That volume is the estimated fraction of the runoff (annually) that is reduced
- Multiplied by a 1-inch rainfall

Practice	on of Credit	Credit	Credit Area (acres)	Volume from Upstream RR Practice (cf)	Runoff Reduction (cf)	Remaining Runoff Volume (cf)	Phosphorus Efficiency (%)	Phosphorus Load from Upstream RR Practices (lbs)	Untreated Phosphorus Load to Practice (lbs.)	Phosphorus Removed By Practice (lbs.)	Remaining Phosphorus Load (lbs.)	Downstream Treatment to be Employed
7.a. Infiltration #1 (Spec #6)	blume reduction	0.50	0.00	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.b. Infiltration #2 (Spec #8)	blume reduction	0.90	1.00	0	3104	345	25	0.00	2.16	2.00	0.16	8.b. ED #2
	blume reduction	0.90	1.00	0	719	80	25	0.00	0.50	0.46	0.04	8.b. ED #2
8. Extended Detention Pond												
8.a. ED #1 (Spec #15)	lume reduction	0.00	0.00	0		0					.00	
	lume reduction	0.00	0.00	0		0	15				.00	
8.b. ED #2 (Spec #15)	blume reduction	0.15	1.00	345	569	3224	15				.68	
	blume reduction	0.15	0.00	80	12	68	15	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.03	

Runoff Reduction Volume

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

What are the limitations?

- *Storage-based* practices

  – Volume often much smaller than actual storage provided

  – Designers may also customize storage characteristics to reduce flows

  (more than spreadsheet can account)

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Bioretention Level 1 - Example

- Given:
  - Level 1 bioretention
  - B type soils
  - 2 Acre DA (50% Imp, 50% Turf)
- Sizing:
  - Tv = volume
  - Surface area is 1 Tv divided by storage depth
  - Storage depth ~ 1.4 ft. (typical)

Bioretention Level 1 - Example

- Size:
  - T_v = 4175 c.f.
  - SA = 4175/1.4 = 2982 s.f.

6. Bioretention								
6.a. Bioretention #1 or Urban Bioretention (Spec #9)	impervious acres draining to bioretention	40% runoff volume reduction	0.40	1.00	0	1379	2069	25
	turf acres draining to bioretention	40% runoff volume reduction	0.40	1.00	0	290	436	25

  - RR "Credit" = 1379 + 290 = 1669 c.f.
    - 40% of volume draining to facility

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

		1-year storm	2-year storm	10-year storm	
Target Rainfall Event (in)		2.60	3.60	4.60	

Drainage Area A

Drainage Area (acres)	2.00
Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	1,670

Drainage Area B

Drainage Area (acres)	0.00
Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	0

Drainage Area C

Drainage Area (acres)	0.00
Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	0

Drainage Area D

Drainage Area (acres)	0.00
Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	0

Drainage Area E

Drainage Area (acres)	0.00
Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)	0

Based on the use of Runoff Reduction practices in the selected drainage areas, the spreadsheet calculates an adjusted $RV_{Developed}$ and adjusted Curve Number.

Drainage Area A		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils
Forest/Open Space -- undisturbed, protected forest/open space or reforested land	Area (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	CN	30	55	70	77
Managed Turf -- disturbed, graded for yards or other turf to be mowed/managed	Area (acres)	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
	CN	39	61	74	80
Impervious Cover	Area (acres)	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
	CN	98	98	98	98

					Weighted CN	**S**
					80	2.50

|	1-year storm	2-year storm	10-year storm
$RV_{Developed}$ **(in) with no Runoff Reduction**	0.96	1.72	2.55
$RV_{Developed}$ **(in) with Runoff Reduction**	0.73	1.49	2.32
Adjusted CN	75	77	77

8c. | Enhancing Storage of Practices | Pg. 7

Interim Summary

- Practice calculated to reduce:

  - 1 year storm volume   0.96 in to 0.73 in

  - For 2 acre catchment

    - 0.96 x 2 x 43,560/12 = 6,970 c.f.

    - 0.72 x 2 x 43,560/12 = 5,227 c.f.

- ***Given total runoff 1-yr = 6,970 c.f.***

- ***Actual storage greater than 4,175 c.f.***

- ***Credit is only 1,669 c.f.***

So what does this mean?

- Routed storage-based practices can generate **much lower discharges than CN adjustment**

- Expect designers to route practices

- CN Adjustment **AND** Routing for given practice not allowed.....

ALWAYS **discount the routed practice from CN adjustments)**

Illustration from a Case Study

Legend:
- Peak Unattenuated
- Peak - DEQ Level 1
- Peak - Routed (No Infiltration)
- Peak - Actual Routed (1 in/hr inf)
- Peak - Adjusted CN (actual storage)

Y-axis: Peak Discharge (cfs), 0 to 8
X-axis: Recurrence Interval — 1-year, 2-year, 10-year

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Storage Optimization

- Storage can be customized to reduce discharges for water quantity design

- Examples for bioretention customization include:

  - Increasing media thickness

  - Increasing sump depth

  - Increasing ancillary surface storage

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Bioretention

Optimization and Storage:

Curve Numbers
(Spreadsheet vs Lookup Table)

- May see differences between spreadsheet CNs vs TR-55 CN Tables

- Either acceptable in designs

- Designers may use spreadsheet CNs

- Understand which CN you are dealing with and why

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Table 2-2a Runoff curve numbers for urban areas [1]

Cover description		Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group			
Cover type and hydrologic condition	Average percent impervious area [2]	A	B	C	D
Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established)					
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) [3]:					
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)		68	79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)		39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:					
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)		72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:					
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) [4]		63	77	85	88
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier, desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch and basin borders)		96	96	96	96
Urban districts:					
Commercial and business	85	89	92	94	95
Industrial	72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:					
1/8 acre or less (town houses)	65	77	85	90	92
1/4 acre	38	61	75	83	87
1/3 acre	30	57	72	81	86
1/2 acre	25	54	70	80	85
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84
2 acres	12	46	65	77	82
Developing urban areas					
Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation) [5]		77	86	91	94

Rational/Modified Rational

- Drainage areas ≤ 200 acres
  - VSMP authority can allow Rational Method for evaluating peak discharges
  - VSMP authority can allow Modified Rational Method for evaluating volumetric flows to stormwater conveyances

Rational/Modified Rational

- Drainage infrastructure sizing

- Sheet flow analysis

- How do you show SWM compliance?

  – No volume with Rational

  – No 24-hr hydrograph in Rational and Modified Rational

Questions?